(16)

Previous references.

File No. 95 of 1903-04

190 4

(III - Police.)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 4

PART B FOR September 1902.

SUBJECT.

Appeal petition from Yejman Hayath Sahib and others mussalmans of Nidagattu village praying for a license to place a "Punja" and hold other celebrations in connection with the Moharam

---

P. T. O

Mysore Govt Secrt

~~Office of Dewan of Mysore.~~

File No. (if any) 95 of 1903-4

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

~~1~~ No 2

Ll. no 1

✓ ~~B~~

Record

26-9-04

Office Note.

Branch Judicial

Subject *Appeal*

Petitions dated 4th April 1904 & 2nd May 1904, from Gejaman Haidar Khan Sab & other musalmans of Nidaghatta, Nandya Taluk, Kolar Dt praying that the order of the Dt Magte, Mysore Dt declining to grant to the Petitioners a license to place a 'Punja' and perform Moharam festival in the village unless they executed a Muchalika to agree to allow the Hindus to take their procession in certain streets and gave Security for Rs 5000/- for keeping the peace

---

The Petitioners state that they applied to the Amildar of Nandya for a license to allow them to keep a 'Punja' during the Moharam and to take it in procession thro' the town, that the Amildar having referred the matter to the Dt Magte of Mysore, the latter ordered (thro' the Amildar) that if the Petitioners agreed to execute a Muchalika pledging themselves to take their Punja etc in procession within the limits of the village allotted for them by Mr L. Chokkanna, Asst Amildar, and not to object to the Hindus taking their

the Nos 95 98 etc

them God in procession thro
the diff portions of the village
and to prevent a breach of
the peace, the license applied
for might be granted - She
were further required to give
security for Rs 5000/-.

The Petitioners state that
the Amildar in order to favour
a Hindu dependent of his
named Amegowda and to
obtain for the Hindus, the right
of passing in procession thro
certain streets in which they
had no such right, got the
above order of the Dt Magte
passed, and pray that orders
may be passed for the grant
of license to have their Puja
procession and to remove this
God to its proper place -

May the Dt Magte be called
upon to submit a report with
records?

Submitted for orders

6/5/04 Yes Ld 6/5/04

Draft filed - approved issued to the D.M. Mysore

7/5/04 Office Memo: No 2370 d: 10-5-04 forwarding the petition for submission of the entire records in the case together with a full report of the facts of the case for the points urged in the petition

S. No 2

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 95 of 1903-04.

Serial No. 3.

Letter No. 182 dated the 2nd September 1904 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting on the petition of Mahomed Hyath Sab & other Mahomedans and forwarding the entire records in the case

Subt for orders

SW 10-9-04. RR 10.9.04 The I. G. P. must have made a reference to Govt in August or Sept. 1903 about locating a punitive Police force in this village. Please put up file relating to it.

10.9.04

Submitted.

No such reference was received from the Inspector General of Police. Please see this office's letter No. A dated the 24th August 1903 in the file in which he requires the Dy Comr Mysore Dt to ~~give him additional particulars~~ satisfy himself that a punitive police is necessary to quell the turbulent spirit of the Village before the recommendation of imposing such a force is made and the Deputy Commissioner's remarks thereon to the effect that

Flag R

Now it is. It was at the end of another file misfiled by mistake. I am sorry I failed to find it.

4.9.04

Flag R

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

Serial No.

there is no necessity nor justification for imposing any punitive police force upon the villagers.

SN 14-9-04. MGR 14.9.04

The order complained of by Petrs is one passed by Mr. N Venkatarao as District Magistrate of Mysore on 26 March 1904. It is not clear under what section of the Cr. Pr. Code it was passed. If section 144 Cr. P. C. may be taken to apply to it, the order has ceased to remain in force, and if it has not, it is open to the Dt. Magt. to alter or rescind it. The matter does not seem to be one in which the Govt can properly interfere. Draft of endorsement to Petrs is submitted.

14.9.04.

Draft submitted to the Dewan Saheb thro the 1st Commissr

568/15-9-04

14.9.1904

I quite agree that this is not a case for Govt interference. The endorsement proposed is suitable

1595/16.9.04

15/9/1904

15-9-04

MGCPB—10-20-195-9-04

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 95 of 1904-05.

Serial No.	
	Quoted 18. IX. 04
4.	To petitioners Hayath Khan and others. No J 780, dated 21st September 1904. Informs them that Government do not interfere in the matter. Endorsement to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District. No J 781, dy 21-9-04 Forwards copy of the above, with ref^e to his letter Serial No 4, and returns the records sent by him

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

Reference

3-5-04

1663

1904 [illegible]

No. 2458

Name of Petitioner Others Muslimes -

Village Nedagatta

Taluk Mangalore

District Mangalore

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 2/5/04

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 3

4-4-04 [illegible]

Transferred to the Judicial Branch in continuation

4/5/04

ABSTRACT

With reference to Govt. circle No 2204 of 18/4/04 & subsequent circulars dated No. of 27/3/04 conveying the Govt. order No 2323 of 26/3/04 which runs as follows: -

" Their applications addressed to the Taluk and Deputy Commissioners praying for grant of licenses to place "Pandals" and perform Mohurram feasts have been perused - On subsequent

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02

a request from this Office to the
District in the matter, and dated
No 2323 of 26/3/04 has be
received from the District Magistrate
to the effect that the license applied for
could not be granted if the
applicants execute a Mutchalka
agreeing to the conditions (mentioned
in the report submitted from the Tahsil)
that they would take "Nuzul" within
the limit of the Street Settled and
Lots therefor fixed by the
former Arbitrators, they should not
keep the Windows performing possession
of their Davakhs, beyond the
Said limit in Brester Street,
Burnaya Street, Vakalgar Street
and Vakalgar Street up to Khalumsahib
Ameer House and that they keep peace-
So if the applicants consent
to execute such mutchalka, they
should be present at Mudaliar Camp
with such persons as will
furnish Rs 5000/- as Securities -

Then the license applied be
granted.

Arbitrators order No 1/2/3104, Dr
Commissioners order No 9709/30/3104 and
Myrland No 2204 of 18/4/04 are
enclosed.

Sd/-
3/5/04

V. Quest 1/5

Mysore Government
General and Revenue Secretariat
Petition Department
Reg'd No 2958 R
Date 2 - 5 - 1904

3 Enclosures in duplicate
in duplicate
2.5.04

పిర్యాదుదారు శ్రీ ఎమ్ వెంకటిలాన్ సాధోబ్ ఇడదుద్దా

45-8 కి వారసులు చూపరులు జనాంతరానికి

యం శాస్త్రీకాల్లో తిప్పస్వారు మొలాబళ్ళి నిడగట్టస్వామియవరు వంశపారంపర్యంగా
వస్తున్న ఆస్తి

ఇనాచినింద నాదరాంద 18-4-04 నేయిమర్లం 2204 నేనంబరీన్న
శిరస్తాయిడిసి నేరాగి డిపోర్టుమెంటిలో అదరంతే ఉదరకే డిస్ట్రిక్టయిష్టర్
గారిధ్వనియిం దనాదరాంద 30-3-04 నేయిమర్లం 120 నేనంబరీన
యిచ్చు కొంన్న అయుల్దారర్వరద 27-3-04 నేయిమర్లం ఒగర నంబర
ఇంచొంన్న సరాం ఇదిర బడినేవులప్రాంప్తిసి ఇరువదన్న ధవస్తీన్న
ధరిగళాంద ఖాంవం దరవరు యరాంబళ్ళి సరియూంద జిన్నాళ్ళి వరాంచి
గళిగిచరన్న లుద్దారయూంశ బిరాంచువుప్రాధిన సిబిగిడిపోర్టుమెంటిన్నళ్ళిరువ
సన్నిధానదింద ఇబొంన్నా శియాంప్రాంద 4-4-04 నేయిమర్లం యిన
లజిస్తరుయూంసరాం ఇదిర రవండి లగత్తిరువంతే యూంగిరిం రవండి
దిగిగి యే సదరిగి యూంరంలుక్రమ్మ రీతి రణదింద జరగలు అవరాళ్ళ
సిబ్బిరువదన్నియూం యూంలోవిరాంలుక్రమ్మ యాంది గళిరువుంటిన్నళ్ళ
లు రాంరాంరాంచి యూంగిన్నళ్ళ సరాంటిసి లు శూంరవాంనేయులు
న్నరాంకి ఇత్తిరువుదాంచిన్నాంచ్చరదిరే వరలుత్త యవస్థానద్వి దిరవరన్న
యంబ్రాస్తిన్నళ్ళే శిరిసి లు జాగ్రత్తి డుగుం దంరుమాంలిసి లుద్దారణయూం
డిరిగరుస్తావిల

రాంచు గిరి

4 v J 159!

190.4 file No 95 of 04

S No-1

11 [illegible]

2) [illegible]

[illegible] transferred to the

Judicial Branch

a/

14.4.04

Return, and Consider

not warranted.

Endorsement on Petition

dated

16/4/04

Return the petition

with the remark that

the order has been

processed.

Bgore

18/4/04

No. 2296

~~Yellamma Kavitha Kantale~~

Name of Petitioner and other Muslimans.

Village Nidagatta

Taluk Maudya

District Mysore

Date of Arzi 4/4/04

Date of Receipt 11/4/04

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure

ABSTRACT

State that on applying for license for performing Procession in their Village during Muharram feast, Dy Comr has on dt no 2223 dt 27/3/04 has been Communicated by the Amildar, Stating that if the Petrs allow the Hindus to have the procession made on Edgah and Bestas and the Streets and if Rs 5000/- as Security, the license applied for could be granted; there

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02

It has been decided
that both Mussulmans and Hindus
should not have any procession
made in the Moolay Gatti Mahomedan
Gully - and that licence could have been
granted to have the procession made in all other streets
except the said Mahomedan Gully
Kasim Sahib Gully for reasons of licence
to have the procession. The
"Pungal" can do to remove the "Pungal"
to their respective places

Copy
13/4/04



6

Mysore Government
General and Revenue Secretariat
Petition Department
Reg'd No 2296/15
Date 7/3/1915

2296:

No. 2204 dt. 18/4/44

By order

File No. 95 of 03-04
S. No. 2

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

70
2378
10.5.04

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 2458 dated the 4th April & 2nd May 1904 received at the ~~Dewan's Office~~ Secretariat from "Yejaman Hiralla Saheb & other Musalmans of Nidagatta, Mandya Taluk" appealing against the order of the Dt Magte of Mysore declining to grant them a license to keep a Punja & to parade it thro' the Streets of the village except on certain conditions

is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the ~~Deputy Commissioner~~ District Magte of Mysore District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

(Seen/order) 7/5/04

Secretary.

BANGALORE,

189

III

Dues 719

Police

J 563

190/A The No. 95 of 03-04

S. No. 3

From

M Kantaraja Urs B.A. B.L.

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore Dist.

To

B. K. Venkatavaradacharya Esq

Secretary to Mysore Govt

Police Department

Dated 2

Despatched September 1904

Received

General No. 682

No.

Enclosure No. File as per

Reporting on the petition

from Sajanan Hyath Sab &

Shri Muralmane of Nidagha

village Manoja Taluk.

9 G 563
File No. 95 of 1903-04
Sl: No. 3.

No 982

See file asper
Sheriah

To,

Office of the District Magistrate
of Mysore
Dated Mysore 2nd September 1903

(B. K. Venkatāranada Iyengar Esq.)
Secretary to the Government
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In reply to your official
Memorandum No: 2370/9 dated the 10th May
1904 forwarding for report petitions from
Yejaman Hazalk Sab and other Musalmans
of Nidagatta village, Manda Taluk, appealing
against the orders of the District Magistrate
in the matter of granting a licence to take
the Punja in procession, I have the honor
to State as follows:-

2 One by name Abdul Gafoor Beg
of Nidagatta applied to the District Magistrate

D on the 22nd March 1904 for a license to take the panja in procession during the Moharam festival, in all the Streets of the village in habited by the Mohemadans; with music &c. Mr. N. Venkata Rau, the then District Magistrate referred the application to the Amildar Magistrate of Manja calling for a report whether there would be any danger of a breach of peace if the license were granted for taking the procession in the undisputed Streets (

Flag O Vide his order no: 953 dated 24th March 1904).

The Amildar Magistrate reported in reply that the object of the Mohemadans was to go in a particular Street to which the Hindus had an Exclusive right, and regard being had to the preservation of public peace, the Moha

8

should not be permitted to go in procession
in that particular street. Even with regard
to granting a license for the streets usually
allowed to them; he suggested that a mutcha-
lika should be obtained from them that they
would not object to the Hindus going in pro-
cession in streets set apart for them. The
reason of demanding this condition is explained
fully by the Amildar Magistrate in his letter
dated 25th March 1904. Mr. N. Venkata Rau
approved of this suggestion and ordered that
the licensee should only be issued subject to
the conditions laid down by the local magis-
trate, having himself been aware of the state of
feeling between the rival parties. As the
Mohamadans did not accept these con-
ditions

Flag P.

ditions, no licence was issued and an endorsement was granted to them. The present appeal is against his endorsement.

3. With regard to the origin and development of the dispute between the Hindus and Mohamadans in this troublesome village, it is needless for me to enter into details. Late Mr. G Krishna Rau, Superintendent of Police, French Rocks has made a searching enquiry into the matter and has recorded the result in his memos A & B, the one prepared in connection with the location of punishing Police in the place, the other with regard to the rights of the rival parties to take their deities in procession through the streets of Negapatam. Messrs N Venkata Rau and K.S. Doreswami Iyer who were in charge of the French Rocks Sub Division have also recorded their opinion and the whole file of correspondence is submitted herewith

Hag N

Hag Q

4 The letter no: 2 dated 2nd July 1903 from Mr N. Venkata Rau which gives cover to the Memos A & B referred to above, gives a brief description of the c[illegible]

Hag S

of the Mohemadans. I think they are certainly the aggressors. The fixing of the limits within which the two parties could conduct their processions has really given the Mohemadans more privileges than they deserved. Instead of abiding by the Settlement made by the late Aundar Mr J. Chokkanna in May 1901 at the instance of Mr Doreasami Iyer, they unnecessarily and wantonly desire to take the procession in a street the use of which is restricted to the Hindus and thereby cause good deal of trouble to the authorities. In my opinion the Settlement already effected should be reduced to writing and both the parties enjoined to abide by it, with the clear understanding that the slightest deviation therefrom or disobedience thereto would render the delinquents liable to be bound over to keep the peace.

5 The petition and its enclosures are herewith returned. The delay in the submission of this report was unavoidable as the complete records connected with the case were not received all together

and

and in time.

I have the honor to be;

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[illegible] - J.

District Magistrate

Mr

Nellore

15

File No. 95/903—A
Sl. No. 4

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

No. 9780
Police 95/603-A
dt 21/9/04
with two
enclos:

20/9/1904

*N. B. Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. 13

No. 2781
Police 95/603-A

21/9/04

Enclos: Records.

By order
H. S. 1904
Secretary

Copy of the above is forwarded to the

16

Deputy Comm^r Imperial District
with reference to his letter No 82
dated the 2^d Instant, together
with the records received there-
-with

BO

6
14.5.1904
Secretary